
Meeting	Decision Session - Executive Member for Transport
Date	10 February 2026
Present	Councillor Ravilious
Officers in Attendance	Darren Hobson - Highway Regulation Manager Garry Taylor - Director of City Development

40. Apologies for Absence (10:00am)

There were no apologies.

41. Declarations of Interest (10:00am)

The Executive Member was asked to declare, at this point in the meeting, any disclosable pecuniary interests, or other registerable interests she might have in respect of business on the agenda, if she had not already done so in advance on the Register of Interests. None were declared.

42. Minutes (10:00am)

Resolved: That the minutes of the Decision Session held on Tuesday, 27 January 2026 be approved and signed by the Executive Member as a correct record.

43. Public Participation (10:00am)

It was reported that there had been one registration to speak at the session under the Council's Public Participation Scheme.

Cllr Baxter spoke on Item 5, in support of the proposal, discussing the impact it would have on residents of the Badger Hill area. She noted that these residents dealt with parking issues every day and demand was constantly high because of the area's proximity to the university. She said many residents had contacted Hull Road councillors when the parking agreement with the university came up for renewal, concerned that residents would now have to subsidise parking.

She stated she was pleased that the council had been able to deliver for these residents and thanked the Executive Member and officers for their work on this. She also thanked the university for listening to the community and the council, emphasising that this would have a huge impact on parking pressures in neighbouring residential streets, and would give greater stability for the next five years.

44. Review of Statutory Consultation for introduction of 'No Waiting' restrictions on Baysdale Avenue, Cavendish Grove and Tranby Avenue (10:03am)

The Highway Regulation Manager presented the report, outlining the Review of the Statutory Consultation, what it did and how it responded to a petition submitted by residents due to university parking impacting their ability to park on their own streets.

He advised that residents and ward councillors had made some requests which were not possible to fulfil; specifically that the council were unable to request the university open up their car parks to residents, and it was not possible to enact temporary restrictions akin to those used in Poppleton during the Great Yorkshire Show (putting out traffic cones to reserve spaces at times of need). He explained that these temporary restrictions were applicable where there were highway works or issues of public safety, and the issue in question concerned parking as opposed to either of these, meaning more permanent restrictions were appropriate.

He explained that many residents had supported the proposal for restrictions Mon-Fri because students living on campus were parking on residential streets for weeks on end and weekday restrictions would act as a deterrent.

The Director of City Development reiterated that this item directly responded to the residents' petition, and therefore this response did not constitute the council acting as highway authority but acting on behalf of its residents through consultation. While the council had no way to control the university, it was working closely with the university around future planning for traffic management and parking in the area.

The Executive Member thanked officers for their work. She read out in full the 21 March 2024 petition presented by Cllr Warters and signed by 164 residents, noting that officers had drawn up a scheme which reflected all the asks in this petition. She advised that officers had received 3 representations and 1 letter in support of the proposed scheme, and 5

representations and 2 letters against, one of which was from the member who had started the petition.

She explained that this decision had been delayed until the university came to a decision on the future of the Badger Hill ResPark agreement; it had now agreed to continue this scheme and the petition proposal had therefore been brought forward since the impact of parking would be likely to continue on Tranby Avenue and the surrounding area.

Having carefully considered all representations, the Executive Member supported the points raised by officers regarding temporary restrictions being unfeasible in this situation, explaining that these were intended for temporary/one off events, were costly and disruptive to maintain, and may not actually even be legal to enforce in this scenario due to the long-term nature of the parking issues.

She also addressed objections calling for the university to do more in terms of parking provision for residents, stating that the university was not able to provide further campus parking due to planning constraints and the council were working with the university to reduce demand for parking (making public and sustainable transport an increasingly viable alternative).

On the subject of public transport she addressed why the council wasn't using the nearby Grimston Bar park and ride as a car park, explaining that the council holds a contract with First Bus reserving this space for enabling sustainable travel.

Responding to comments opposing the future introduction of ResPark, the Executive Member noted that most residents in this area would not need to pay for ResPark as the properties had off-street parking, thus ResPark would only be an issue should they have guests or tradespeople in need of additional parking. ResPark was not being proposed at this stage, and there was a waiting list, but she urged residents in this area to explore this option.

She asserted that a significant number of residents had signed a petition asking for single yellow lines, and this would be approved, in the interests of removing congestion caused by parked vehicles and allowing traffic (including buses) unimpeded passage.

However, she requested the restricted parking hours be reduced from 5 hours to 3 hours, suggesting this would provide better amenity to residents wishing to park on-street at night and allowing flexibility for trades parking. Additionally Blue Badge holders could still park on single yellow lines subject to the usual terms.

The Executive Member thereby

Resolved: To proceed with Option 1 – Implement as advertised (reducing proposed no waiting hours from 10:00am-3:00pm to 11:00am-2:00pm).

Reason: These restrictions will help remove the long-term parking that has been occurring, which originally initiated the submission of the petition to request the proposed restriction.

The installation of the proposed restriction will allow the passage of the vehicles along the street and remove the parking on the bend that residents raised concerns about the potential danger during the consultation period.

Cllr K Ravilious, Executive Member

[The meeting started at 10.00 am and finished at 10.15 am].